A Computationally Efficient Pipeline for Camera-based Indoor Person Tracking

Andrew Tzer-Yeu Chen, Jerry Fan, Morteza Biglari-Abhari, Kevin I-Kai Wang Embedded Systems Research Group, Dept of Electrical and Computer Engineering The University of Auckland, New Zealand

IVCNZ December 2017



Person Tracking

Localising people over time

Targeting indoor market research applications

- How many customers are there?
- What parts of the shop are they in?
- Are they going past high value items?
- Do we have enough staff?

Primary Challenges

Computational Efficiency

- Most approaches too slow for real-time
- Balance accuracy vs speed trade-off
- Hard constraint in embedded systems

Unsupervised Learning

- Person re-identification across cameras
- Lack of training data at execution time
- Often low-quality cameras, low detail

Person Tracking Pipeline



- Plug-and-Play approach instead of end-to-end CNN
- Modular approach better for distributed processing
- Reduced memory and bandwidth requirements
- Supports privacy-affirming framework: potentially, no human ever sees the raw footage

A Distributed Privacy-Affirming Architecture



Background Estimation: SuperBE



- Superpixel-based Background Estimation
- Isolate foreground as region of interest
- Minimise unnecessary processing later in pipeline

Person Detection: DPM





- Deformable Parts Model
- Isolates out head, torso, arms, legs
- Helps us deal with obscured parts of the body

Feature Extraction



- Extract colour and texture features for each part
- Use HSL for a cylindrical colour space
- Use LBP for computationally efficient texture descriptor

- Unsupervised sequential k-means model
1) Similarity and Classification

Use correlation distance to match histograms Determine similarity of each part, ignoring obscured Weight colour and texture features

$$S = \alpha_1 \frac{\sum_p d_{col_p} v_p}{\sum_p v_p} + \alpha_2 \frac{\sum_p d_{lbp_p} v_p}{\sum_p v_p}$$

Determine class with highest similarity

- Unsupervised sequential k-means model 2) Model Update

We only maintain a class mean, not an entire cluster Modify the class mean with the new sample

 $\mathbf{m}_c = \beta \mathbf{x} + (1 - \beta)\mathbf{m}_c$

Newer samples better indication of current person appearance than older samples Need some robustness against noise/false positives - Unsupervised sequential k-means model3) Feature Weighting

Try to minimise inter-class similarities Try to maximise inter-class variation Help achieve better discriminability

Suppress the common background Exaggerate the different foreground Weight the values in the feature vectors



- Unsupervised sequential k-means model3) Feature Weighting

$$d_{n_k} = |\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{m}_{n_k}|$$
$$\mathbf{w}_k = sat(\mathbf{w}_k + \eta(d_{n_k} - T))$$

As each sample comes in, modify the weight vector Apply weight vector during classification Unsupervised learning, improves over time

Feature Matching and Position Tracking



- Assign the class ID to the detected person
- Detect position by taking midpoint between feet parts
- Form a track over time by connecting position points





- Create a test environment, similar to an office space
- Humans approximate zones, not exact co-ordinates
- Loosens requirements on precision, better comparison





- Four cameras, partly overlapping, different angles
- Cheap webcams to emulate real-world video capture
- Seven action categories: walking, sitting, groups, etc.

Annotation Tool



- Uses homographies for real-world co-ordinates and zones
- Use optical flow to predict boxes, reduce annotation time
- Annotation tool released as open-source on Github

Preliminary Results

TABLE I SINGLE-CAMERA RESULTS USING IDENTITY-BASED MEASURES

Cam	Detections	IDP	IDR	IDF_1
		(Precision)	(Recall)	(F-Score)
1	502	71.12	15.19	25.03
2	1277	93.50	49.24	64.51
3	1250	87.92	38.68	53.73
4	432	43.98	8.96	14.88
All	3461	82.25	35.84	49.14

- New identity-based metrics for person tracking
- Furniture blocking cameras significantly drops accuracy
- Comparable to state-of-the-art re-identification: 60-80%

Preliminary Results

TABLE II Average computation time (ms) based on the number of people detected in the frame and those with features stored

# of People					
Detected	In	Background	Person	Feature	Feature
	Model	Estimation	Detection	Extraction	Matching
0	0	17.3	2.9	0.0	0.0
1	1	18.1	46.3	0.6	1.8
1	2	19.0	48.9	0.7	1.9
1	3	18.3	48.7	0.5	2.5
2	3	18.6	95.7	1.1	2.1
3	6	19.1	106.1	1.7	4.2
4	10	20.8	148.9	2.0	5.1

- Person detection is the bottleneck
- Each additional person requires approx. 50ms more
- Pipeline processes video with between 5-10fps



- 1. Computationally efficient person tracking
- 2. New online unsupervised learning approach to feature matching in real-time
- 3. Development of dataset and annotation tool
- 4. Development of pipelined system architecture to support future work



- 1. Development of retail test scenario
- 2. Comparison with other re-identification and classification methods
- 3. Combine multiple camera views to localise position with high accuracy
- 4. Implementing distributed image processing architecture with smart cameras

Contact: andrew.chen@auckland.ac.nz Original presentation template by <u>SlidesCarnival</u>